

Remarks

This Amendment and Response is responsive to an Office Action mailed by the Office on May 23, 2003. Claims 1-27 were pending in the application. Claims 1-10 and 19-27 have been allowed. Claims 11-18 stand rejected.

In the foregoing amendments, claim 11 has been amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Claim 27 has been amended to correct a typographical error. Claims 28-48 have been added. Hence, claims 1-48 are pending. Support for the amended and new claims can be found in the specification and claims of the application as filed. Applicant respectfully requests entry of the foregoing Amendments and reconsideration of the Application in light of the amendments above and the remarks below.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for extending courtesies in an Examiner Interview conducted on August 26, 2003.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 11-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation “said graphical object” recited in claim 11. In the foregoing amendments, Applicant has amended claim 11 such that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, is overcome. Amended claim 11 is in condition for allowance. At least because claims 12-18 depend from independent claim 11, they are allowable as well. Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Drawings

The Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a). Applicant has added FIGURE 4 to explicitly illustrate an example of two periodic waveforms **410**, **420** having different frequencies, which can be for example associated with two graphical objects having different sizes. In the foregoing amendments, Applicant has added a brief description of FIGURE 4 in the specification accordingly. Applicant submits that the support for the new drawing can be readily found in the specification and claims of the application as filed; no new matter has been entered.

CONCLUSION

All rejections and objections have been addressed. Applicants believe that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Dated: September 23, 2003

Respectfully submitted,
COOLEY GODWARD LLP

By:


Christopher R. Hutter
Reg. No. 41,087

Cooley Godward LLP
ATTN: Patent Group
One Freedom Square
Reston Town Center
11951 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5656
Tel: (703) 456-8000
Fax: (703) 456-8100

181973 v1/RE
3W#T01!.DOC

A